## UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT DISTRICT OF MASSACHUSETTS

NEW ENGLAND CARPENTERS HEALTH DENEFITS FUND, PIRELLI ARMSTRONG RETIREE MEDICAL BENEFITS TRUST; TEAMSTERS HEALTH & WELFARE FUND OF PHILADELPHIA AND VICINITY; PHILADELPHIA FEDERATION OF TEACHERS HEALTH AND WELFARE FUND; DISTRICT COUNCIL 37, AFSCME - HEALTH & SECURITY PLAN; JUNE SWAN; MAUREEN COWIE and BERNARD GORTER,

Plaintiffs,

v.

FIRST DATABANK, INC., a Missouri corporation; and McKESSON CORPORATION, a Delaware corporation,

Defendants.

C.A. No. 1:05-CV-11148-PBS

## PLAINTIFFS' RESPONSE TO MCKESSON'S FIRST SUPPLEMENT OF THE CLASS CERTIFICATION RECORD

At the May 22 hearing on Plaintiffs' motion to certify the class the Court ruled that it would reach its decision based on the record before it. However, in recognition that the discovery period had not yet closed, the Court authorized each of the parties to file a motion to supplement the record, as needed, to provide the Court with new evidence that bears on class certification. The Court clearly limited each party to a single supplement of no more than three pages to be filed before July 31:

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup> Transcript of May 22, 2007 Motion/Status Hearing at 63:25 – 64:1, attached for the Court's convenience as Exhibit A.

THE COURT: And if some new information came in that bears on this, I suppose what you should do is a motion to supplement the class certification record. It may be like a threepage brief on why it matters.

MS. SCHECHTER: Your Honor, does that mean we should look at the end of July as our cutoff for sending you information?

THE COURT: I think, realistically.

MS. SCHECHTER: Okav.<sup>2</sup>

With the filing of its First Supplement of the Class Certification Record, McKesson announced its intent to file "a second supplement" between now and the close of discovery.<sup>3</sup> Because McKesson is only entitled to a single supplement, Plaintiffs object to any further attempts by McKesson to supplement the record and will move to strike any further supplements, as necessary.

DATED: July 9, 2007 By\_\_\_\_\_/s/ Steve W. Berman

> Steve W. Berman Nick Styant-Browne Barbara A. Mahoney Hagens Berman Sobol Shapiro LLP 1301 Fifth Avenue, Suite 2900 Seattle, WA 98101

Telephone: (206) 623-7292 Facsimile: (206) 623-0594

Thomas M. Sobol (BBO#471770) Hagens Berman Sobol Shapiro LLP One Main Street, 4th Floor Cambridge, MA 02142 Telephone: (617) 482-3700

Facsimile: (617) 482-3003

 $<sup>^{2}</sup>$  Id. at 64:2 – 65:8.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>3</sup> McKesson Corporation's First Supplement of the Class Certification Record at 1.

Jeffrey Kodroff John Macoretta Spector, Roseman & Kodroff, P.C. 1818 Market Street, Suite 2500 Philadelphia, PA 19103 Telephone: (215) 496-0300 Facsimile: (215) 496-6611

Marc H. Edelson Edelson & Associates 45 West Court Street Doylestown, PA 18901 Telephone: (215) 230-8043 Facsimile: (215) 230-8735

Kenneth A. Wexler Jennifer Fountain Connolly Wexler Toriseva Wallace LLP 55 West Monroe Street, Suite 3300 Chicago, IL 60602 Telephone: (312) 346-2222 Facsimile: (312) 346-0022

George E. Barrett Edmund L. Carey, Jr. Barret, Johnston & Parsley 217 Second Avenue, North Nashville, TN 37201 Telephone: (615) 244-2202 Facsimile: (615) 252-3798

## **CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE**

I hereby certify that a true copy of the above document was served upon the attorney of record for each other party through the Court's electronic filing service on July 9, 2007.

/s/ Steve W. Berman
Steve W. Berman

## Exhibit A

Page 63

- 1 Going all through the fall, is that it?
- 2 MS. SCHECHTER: Right now the plaintiffs' expert
- 3 report is due July 1, although discovery closes July 31.
- 4 THE COURT: And just responding to what you had
- 5 said, it sounds like you are about to depose two more PBMs.
- 6 So how should we handle new information that may come in on
- 7 this precise point?
- 8 MS. SCHECHTER: We would be happy to put in a
- 9 supplemental filing if your Honor --
- 10 THE COURT: I don't want to see you all again, just
- 11 because I don't want to have the expense of having you all
- 12 fly in here, but you both might get information that you
- 13 might want.
- MS. SCHECHTER: Well, in the last two weeks we've
- 15 received many documents from third-party payors, some of
- 16 which I put in the slide presentation that we received after
- 17 our surreply --
- THE COURT: When's your last deposition?
- MS. SCHECHTER: They haven't been scheduled because
- 20 Express-Scripts --
- THE COURT: What did we say the cutoff was?
- MS. SCHECHTER: July 31, so it would probably make
- 23 sense to then put the experts after that, and then --
- 24 THE COURT: I'm just simply saying any new
- information that came in. Well, we're going to go based on

Page 64

- 1 this record, so if we issue a decision beforehand, we do.
- 2 And if some new information came in that bears on this, I
- 3 suppose what you should do is a motion to supplement the
- 4 class certification record. It may be like a three-page
- 5 brief on why it matters.
- 6 MS. SCHECHTER: Okay, and at a minimum, we'd like
- 7 to put in the slides that we put in today, so we'll do that.
- 8 THE COURT: Yes, just not another brief.
- 9 MS. SCHECHTER: I understand. Just the documents.
- 10 THE COURT: Just the documents and what issue it
- 11 goes to, three pages, not like a whole new thing. I'd give
- 12 you the chance to do it too if you -- do you have more
- 13 depositions?
- MR. BERMAN: Yes, we do, your Honor. I mean, we're
- 15 attending the same depositions, and we're going to be trying
- 16 to get the evidence in our favor just like they are.
- 17 THE COURT: So I'm just trying to figure out. As a
- 18 logistical matter, it's a very serious motion for class cert,
- 19 and it takes me a while, and I have a few other things I need
- 20 to write. So I think it makes sense that at least until I've
- 21 written it, you should be supplementing if something is
- 22 relevant, but not with a slew of new briefs, okay? What I'm
- 23 hoping to do is get this out by the end of the summer.
- 24 That's sort of my ideal time period.
- MR. BERMAN: You think so?

```
Page 65
1
               THE COURT: Huh?
 2
               MR. BERMAN: You think so?
 3
               THE COURT: It sure is.
               MS. SCHECHTER: Your Honor, does that mean we
 4
     should look at the end of July as our cutoff for sending you
 5
 6
     information?
7
               THE COURT: I think, realistically.
               MS. SCHECHTER: Okay.
 8
               THE COURT: I think, realistically, that's right.
 9
     Okay? So to the extent that -- I don't want you to have to
10
11
     spend the money for expedited deposition transcripts, so I
12
     would just try and schedule around that.
13
               MS. SCHECHTER: We appreciate that, your Honor.
14
               THE COURT: Okay. All right, that's it. Great.
15
               THE CLERK: Court is in recess.
               (Adjourned, 3:25 p.m.)
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
```